

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
NORTHERN DIVISION**

OLIVIA Y., et al)	
)	
Plaintiffs)	
)	
v.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:04-cv-251-HSO-FKB
)	
TATE REEVES, as Governor of)	
the State of Mississippi, et al.)	
)	
Defendants)	

**DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR AWARD OF CLASS COUNSEL'S FEES AND EXPENSES**

Defendants file this Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Award of Class Counsel's Fees and Expenses:

1. Plaintiffs filed their current Motion for Award of Class Counsel's Fees and Expenses ("Current Motion for Fees") [ECF No. 941] on February 3, 2023, seeking to recover \$17,382.92 for attorneys' fees and expenses from the Defendants for the time period from January 1, 2022, through June 30, 2022. Plaintiffs filed their Memorandum in Support of their Motion for Fees ("Current Memorandum in Support") on February 3, 2023 [ECF No. 942].

2. By orders dated May 6, 2016, and July 21, 2017, the Court set the current hourly rates for this case. In their Current Motion for Fees, Plaintiffs are seeking an increase in hourly rates from the Court's previous Orders for all attorneys and paralegals:

Timekeeper	Current Rate	Requested Rate
Marcia Lowry	\$425.00 legal work	\$475.00 legal work
Wayne Drinkwater	\$400.00 legal work	\$425.00 legal work
Michael Bentley	\$217.50 legal work	\$325.00 legal work
Anastasia Benedetto ¹	\$200.00 legal work	\$225.00 legal work
Jonathan Borle ²		\$250.00 legal work

¹ Ms. Benedetto began working on this matter in 2018, and Plaintiffs have billed her time at an hourly rate of \$200 to which Defendants have not objected.

² Mr. Borle was added as attorney of record in 2021, and Plaintiffs have billed his time at an hourly rate of \$250.

Paralegals	\$110.00	\$120.00
------------	----------	----------

3. The current hourly rates for work performed by Plaintiffs' counsel continue to be reasonable and customary hourly rates in the district and should not be increased.

4. Plaintiffs' claim that Defendants should remit any payment of fees within thirty (30) days is not supported by either § 1988 or the 2nd MSA,³ and the Court has never required payment of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees within 30 days. The 2nd MSA, agreed to by Plaintiffs, contemplates that Defendants will request funds annually "consistent with existing state budgetary practices and legal requirements" to pay Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees under § 12.1 of the 2nd MSA.

5. As contemplated by the 2nd MSA, the practice of paying Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees through appropriation by the Mississippi legislature is in accordance with existing state budgetary practices and legal requirements under Mississippi law, which states that a "judgment or decree against the State shall not be satisfied except by an appropriation therefor by the legislature, and an execution shall not be issued against the State." *See* Miss. Code Ann. § 11-45-5.

6. Defendants have never shown an unwillingness or reluctance to pay the agreed upon amount of fees. Instead, throughout the entire history of this litigation, Defendants have acted in a cooperative fashion in the payment of the Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees have been consistently paid through deficit appropriations requested by, and made available to, the Attorney General's office.⁴

7. Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Award of Class Counsel's Fees and Expenses ("Prior

³ The 2nd Modified Mississippi Settlement Agreement and Reform Plan ("2nd MSA") was approved by the Court on December 19, 2016 [ECF No. 712].

⁴ *See* Legislative Appropriation Bills 2008-2021, attached collectively as Exhibit "A" to ECF No. 928.

Motion for Fees”) on December 1, 2021 [ECF No. 924], seeking to recover \$99,466.92 for attorneys’ fees and expenses from the Defendants for the time period from January 1, 2021, through June 30, 2021. Plaintiffs also filed a Memorandum in Support of Motion for Attorneys’ Fees (“Prior Memorandum in Support”) on December 1, 2021 [ECF No. 925].

8. Defendants filed their Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Prior Memorandum in Support on December 22, 2021 [ECF No. 929]. Because Plaintiffs make the identical legal arguments in their Prior Memorandum in Support as they do in their Current Memorandum in Support, Defendants incorporate and rely on their previously filed Memorandum in Opposition [ECF No. 929] in support of their opposition to Plaintiffs’ Current Motion for Fees.⁵

WHEREFORE, the current hourly rates for work performed by Plaintiffs’ counsel are reasonable and customary hourly rates in the district and, therefore, should not be increased. Further, Plaintiffs’ attorneys’ fees should be paid in accordance with state law and the agreed upon 2nd MSA and consistent with how they have been paid over the course of this litigation – through a legislative deficit appropriation. Based on the foregoing, Defendants request the Court deny Plaintiffs’ Current Motion for Fees.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this the 17th day of February, 2022.

TATE REEVES, as Governor of the State of Mississippi, ANDREA SANDERS, as Commissioner, Mississippi Department of Child Protection Services, and KIMBERLY WHEATON, as Deputy Commissioner of Child Welfare, Mississippi Department of Child Protection Services

By: /s/ Clint Pentecost

⁵ Due to the nature of this pleading and Defendants reliance on their previously filed memorandum, Defendants request relief from the requirement of filing a separate memorandum brief in support of Defendants’ Response to Plaintiffs’ Current Motion for Fees.

OF COUNSEL:

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
William C. (“Clint”) Pentecost (MSB #99841)
One Eastover Center - 100 Vision Drive, Suite 400
Jackson, MS 39211
Telephone: (601) 351-2400
Facsimile: (601) 351-2424

Douglas T. Miracle (MSB #9648)
Assistant Attorney General
OFFICE OF THE MISSISSIPPI ATTORNEY GENERAL
P. O. Box 220
Jackson, MS 39205